UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,387	05/15/2006	Yoshiharu Sato	2006_0728A	6422
513 7590 07/11/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			EXAMINER	
			LORENCE, RICHARD M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/579,387	SATO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard M. Lorence	3681			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ris action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 May 2006 is/are: applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the path or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. a) □ accepted or b) ☑ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be drawing(s) is objected to be described.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/15/06, 8/2/07 & 10/3/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/579,387 filed on May 15, 2006. Claims 1-3 are currently pending.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). A copy of the certified copy of the priority document has been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

If applicant desires to claim the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 365(c), a specific reference to the prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications.

If the instant application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the

Page 3

Art Unit: 3681

application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge

under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on May 15, 2006, August 2, 2007 and October 3, 2007 have been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the reference sign "DR" mentioned in the description at, for example, line 1 of paragraph 18.

The drawings are objected to because in Figure 1 the input shaft of the clutch 6 should be identified by the reference sign 6N rather than 5N in order to be consistent with the description at line 2 of paragraph 30.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of

Application/Control Number: 10/579,387 Page 5

Art Unit: 3681

any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 3681

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes the legal phraseology 'means' in line 8. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because in line 2 of paragraph 41 the reference to "the brake device 25" is in error since the reference numeral 25 has been used to designate the return spring described, for example, in line 5 of paragraph 37.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear which of the structure disclosed in conjunction with the embodiment of Figure 1 corresponds to the claimed "valve operating member of the pressure reducing valve" and the "valve operating member of the pressure reducing valve".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,614,998 A (Houtz).

Regarding claim 1, Houtz discloses a control device (10) for an input clutch of a work vehicle (e.g. front-end loader, col. 1, lines 36-38), including an input clutch (18), a brake means (54, 56) for decelerating the work vehicle; a brake control valve (32) which operates to increase a braking force of the brake means depending on a valve position; a brake operation means (12, 14) which is disposed to operate the brake control valve; a draining oil passage (68) which is an oil passage branched from an oil passage for supplying a pressure oil to the input clutch and communicated with a tank (66); and a pressure reducing valve (38) which is disposed in the draining oil passage and operates to increase the pressure oil flowing through the draining oil passage according to a valve position and to decrease a clutch pressure of the input clutch, wherein the brake operation means is mechanically coupled with a valve operating member (62) of the pressure reducing valve, and the valve operating member of the pressure reducing valve is mechanically coupled with a valve operating member (40) of the brake control valve.

Regarding claim 2, the element 36 of Houtz inherently possesses a certain degree of elasticity, and accordingly corresponds to the claimed spring.

Regarding claim 3, the elements 30, 34 and 36 of Houtz constitute a link mechanism which mechanically couples the valve operating member 62 of the pressure reducing valve 38 with valve operating member 40 of the brake control valve. Brake operation means 12, 14 is mechanically liked to the link mechanism.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 3,853,210 A (Kitano et al.), US 4,222,469 A (Kubolis et al.) and US 4,592,455 A (Bubak) each show systems for controlling a clutch and a brake in a vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard M. Lorence whose telephone number is (571) 272-7094. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:30AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,387 Page 9

Art Unit: 3681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Richard M. Lorence/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3681